LINK: 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 11-6934 GAF (PJWx)	Date	November 9, 2011
Title	Roseanne Larsen v. County of Los Angeles et al.		

Present: The Honorable	G	ARY ALLEN FEESS		
Renee Fisher		None	N/A	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.	
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:		Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
None		None		

Proceedings: (In Chambers)

ORDER RE: MOTION TO DISMISS

On October 12, 2011, Defendants County of Los Angeles and Sheriff Lee Baca ("Defendants") filed a motion to dismiss, for more definite statement, and/or a motion to strike Plaintiff's complaint. (Docket No. 4.) On November 2, 2011, Plaintiff attempted to file an amended complaint (Docket Nos. 6-7), which was rejected due to various filing deficiencies. (Docket No. 8.) The Court struck the document and ordered Plaintiff to properly file the amended complaint by November 9, 2011. (Docket No. 9.) On November 9, 2011, Plaintiff filed their First Amended Complaint. (Docket No. 10.)

An "amended pleading supersedes the original pleading." Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). "[A]fter amendment the original pleading no longer performs any function and is 'treated thereafter as non-existent." Id. at 1262 (quoting Loux v. Rhay, 375 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967)). Accordingly, Defendants' motion to dismiss, for more definite statement, and/or a motion to strike Plaintiff's complaint is now moot. The motion is therefore **DENIED as moot**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.